To: Distribution

From: Bob Hunnicutt, Tower Coordinator, Columbia

Telecommunications

A meeting of the Telecommunications Transmission Facility Coordinating Group (TTFCG) was held on April 4, 2001. The following people were in attendance:

MEMBERS

Jane Lawton, Chairperson OCA (240) 777-3724 (FAX) 777-3770 Pat Hanehan MCPS (301) 279-3405 (FAX) 279-3737 Michael Ma M-NCPPC (301) 495-4595 (FAX) 495-1306 Dave Niblock DPS (240) 777-6252 (FAX) 777-6241 Willem Van Aller DIST (240) 777-2994 (FAX) 777-2950 Rey Junquera DPWT (240) 777-6086 (FAX) 777-6109 Eric Carzon OMB (240) 777-2763 (FAX) 777-2756

STAFF

Robert Hunnicutt CTC (410) 964-5700 (FAX) 964-6478 Julie Modlin CTC (410) 964-5700 (FAX) 964-6478 Amy Wilson OCA (240) 777-3684 (FAX) 777-3770

OTHER ATTENDEES

Lee Jarmon Nextel (301) 625-4907 (FAX) 625-5502
Deane Mellander VoiceStream (240) 264-8658 (FAX) 264-8610
Jim Michal Jackson & Campbell (202) 457-1652 (FAX) 457-1678
Charles Ryan LCC/XM Satellite (703) 873-2393 (FAX) 873-2686
M.G. Diamond Verizon Wireless (301) 951-1564
Carolyn Mitchell Cingular Wireless
Pam Peckham Bechtel/AWS
Tom King Darnestown Assoc. (301) 417-9789
Chris Scott AT&T Wireless
Kwasi Bosompem WFI/Verizon (410) 309-0556
Cynthia Middlebrooks WFI/Verizon (443) 306-7165
Geraldine McCarthy LCC Int'l.
Terence Cooke Cole,Raywid/ATT (202) 659-9750
John Kelley Thinc/Nextel (301) 625-5993 (FAX) 625-5871

Action Item: Approval of March 7, 2001 Minutes: Pat Hanehan moved that the minutes be approved as written. Rey Junquera seconded and the minutes were approved as written.

Discussion Item - XM Satellite Applications: Bob Hunnicutt explained that there were six XM satellite applications to be reviewed by the TTFCG on today's agenda. He stated he would like to review them all together as the first agenda item. He stated that there were a number of errors and omissions on the applications and that they were delayed in order to get the correct information. He noted that there were also cases where there was conflicting information within an application. For example, he stated that the authorized power for the transmitters on the FCC experimental license provided with one application showed that XM was authorized to transmit only 30 watts. Another application showed that the authorized power was 30.5

kilowatts. Mr. Hunnicutt found that on some of the application forms the stated ERP was 100 watts, on others it stated 200 watts, and yet on others, 2000 watts was shown. He said that in trying to resolve these discrepancies, he contacted the engineer at FCC who issued the licenses to get the correct information. He said that the FCC engineer explained that ERP of up to 2000 watts was within the FCC authorization, but the engineer cautioned that since the licenses were experimental licenses, XM would need to obtain a valid FCC commercial license prior to actually selling its services to the public. The engineer explained that experimental licenses were issued for the carrier to deploy its equipment, activate it, run experiments to document the functionality of the system, and verify whether or not there was any interference with other over-the-air services. Mr. Hunnicutt stated that XM was still in the process of laying out its final deployment of equipment in Montgomery County and still deciding where to put its 2000 watt transmitters; therefore, there may still be changes in these attachments subsequent to today's meeting. He asked Chip Ryan, the XM representative, if he had anything to add.

Chip Ryan explained that, as with PCS licenses, these experimental licenses were issued for a five-year period to permit build-out of the carrier's networks. He stated that XM had installed equipment across the country and that Boeing Corporation had launched a satellite for XM and was ready to turn that over to XM for operation. He added that receivers were being installed in automobiles and were also for sale at Radio Shack. He explained that the difference between the two licenses was that the 30 watt license was issued originally for drive tests of the XM system and that the higher power license was issued to test the XM equipment. He added that most of the transmitters were rated at 200 watts but would be operating at 100 watts each. He stated that the system would be going on-line shortly and would begin actual operations. In doing so, they would determine what kinds of problems they might encounter regarding interference with other services.

Willem Van Aller stated that he was concerned that there may be conflicts with the County's public safety microwave system, which is only 100 MHz from the XM transmission frequencies. He added that he was also concerned about the 2000 watt transmission sites.

Mr. Ryan stated that service was already being tested at the approved power ratings and assigned frequencies by signals delivered in the KU band via satellite to ground stations. He added that XM was now prepared to activate its own satellite. He noted there were approximately 500 stations across the country ready to start providing service.

Mr. Hunnicutt asked how many facilities in Montgomery County were fully equipped and operational. Mr. Ryan replied that there were perhaps half a dozen locations approved by the TTFCG where equipment had been installed, but none were operational although they were licensed to begin operation. Additionally, Mr. Ryan acknowledged that XM did not have its commercial FCC license yet.

Mr. Van Aller stated he was uncomfortable with the explanation of the licensing and power rating. Jane Lawton asked Mr. Van Aller to contact the FCC to find out the specifics of the XM service and try to determine whether there were going to be any conflicts with the County's microwave system.

Mr. Hunnicutt stated that, based upon his review of the Special Exceptions for the structures to which XM was to attach, and on comments from the FCC's engineer, he recommended action on all of these XM applications be conditioned upon the following: XM obtaining the appropriate FCC commercial licenses prior to selling its services; completion of any modifications of Special Exceptions (where applicable) for placement of the equipment or extending the height of the existing structures; and resolution of any conflicts with the County system. The TTFCG concurred.

Action Item: XM Satellite Radio application to attach antennas at the 187' level on an extension pole at the top of an existing Spectrasite monopole at the Knights of Columbus located at 17001 Overhill Road in Gaithersburg (Application #200003-15).

Julie Modlin summarized the application, noting that XM originally requested to go on this monopole when it was owned by Cellular One, but withdrew that application and applied, instead, to attach to the BAM monopole also at this site. The TTFCG recommended that application. Now that Spectrasite owns the other monopole, XM again prefers to attach its antennas to its monopole. XM has asked to reinstate its original application to attach to this monopole. The Tower Coordinator recommends this application, conditioned upon XM withdrawing its application for the BAM attachment, so that there will not be two applications for XM at the same site; that XM obtain any modifications that may be necessary to the Special Exception for this location; that XM obtain the proper FCC licensing prior to the sale of commercial services; and resolution of any conflicts with the County's system.

Eric Carzon asked if there was a structural analysis required at this site. Ms. Modlin stated that she did not believe that would be necessary for this attachment. She stated she was not concerned with the monopole's ability to accommodate the additional load of the antennas and related cables.

Jane Lawton asked if the Board of Appeals was aware of the issues related to modification of the Special Exception, and if the additional height added to this tower would still meet setback requirements. Mr. Hunnicutt stated he had a general discussion of these XM applications with Kathleen Freeman, and she was of the opinion that if there were changes to the use of space on the ground or the height of the monopole, it should be reviewed by the Board of Appeals. He stated that he believed there was sufficient ground space on the property and that setback would not be an issue.

Jane Lawton agreed that those were issues for the Board of Appeals, but wanted to make sure that the TTFCG brought these matters to the Board's attention.

Eric Carzon asked who owned the pole and if the name change

from Cellular One to Cingular had any impact on the application. Mr. Hunnicutt stated that the database would retain the company names as originally submitted to the TTCFG for review.

Carolyn Mitchell stated that Cellular One was indeed now Cingular, but that the monopoles were now owned by Spectrasite, a tower management company.

Mr. Ryan added that XM had received permission from Spectrasite to attach to this monopole. Mr. Ryan also stated that he would submit a letter withdrawing the application for attachment to the BAM monopole at this site. He added that the record would reflect that he has been diligent in keeping up with changes to XM's applications and notifying the TTFCG.

Jane Lawton asked if Mr. Ryan could provide the name of the contact person and address of the Spectrasite Company. Mr. Ryan stated that Martha Province was the contact person, and the address was 100 Regency Avenue, Carey, North Carolina. He added that he would provide the phone number for Ms. Province to Mr. Hunnicutt.

Motion: Eric Carzon moved the application be recommend. Dave Niblock seconded, and the motion was unanimously approved.

Action Item: XM Satellite Radio application to attach antennas at the 40' & 331' level on an existing 331' WASH Radio lattice tower located at 2647 University Boulevard in Wheaton (Application #200010-01).

Julie Modlin summarized the application and stated that this was a relatively straightforward attachment. Eric Carzon asked if these antennas would be attached to the very top of the tower. Mr. Hunnicutt stated the antennas would be attached to the existing arm at the very top of the tower.

Motion: Pat Hanehan moved that the application be recommended. Dave Niblock seconded and the motion was unanimously approved.

Action Item: XM Satellite Radio application to attach antennas on an existing BAM monopole at Aspen Landscape located at 15710 New Hampshire Avenue in Silver Spring (Application #200011-05)

Julie Modlin summarized the application and noted this was another case where XM had submitted an application to attach to a BAM monopole, but because of structural issues, XM had withdrawn its application and instead, submitted an application to attach to the Sprint monopole at Sherwood High School. Now XM wishes to process both applications, and will attach to one of the structures. However, Ms. Modlin advised that the structural analysis provided with this application clearly states that the existing monopole cannot accommodate the XM antennas. Consequently, the Tower Coordinator does not recommend this application.

Chip Ryan explained that the present monopole owner, Crown International, has estimated that it will cost \$50,000 to rebuild the existing monopole to accommodate XM's antennas and cables, and the present issue is who will pay that additional cost. He explained

that XM seeks approval to attach to the Aspen Landscape or the Sherwood High School monopole, whichever one prevails, without having to return to the TTFCG for approval.

Ms. Lawton stated that she would be in favor of not recommending the application. MG Diamond stated that since he represents the tower owner, he would ask the TTFCG to recommend the application conditioned on resolution of the structural issues at the Aspen Landscape site. He asked what the difference was between this case and other applications where there were structural questions that had been handled in the same fashion.

Mr. Hunnicutt explained that in previous applications where the Tower Coordinator had questions regarding structural issues, applications had been conditioned on the applicant providing a structural analysis prior to obtaining a building permit, and providing a copy of that analysis to the Tower Coordinator. In this case, however, when the Tower Coordinator already had a structural analysis that clearly stated that the monopole could not accommodate the XM antennas, it made little sense to recommend attachment. Mr. Van Aller added that the structural analysis not only states the monopole could not currently accommodate XM's antennas, but it also states that the monopole could not be structurally modified to accommodate XM's antennas.

In response to further questions from Mr. Diamond, Mr. Hunnicutt stated that even though structural issues may be resolved prior to obtaining a building permit, it would not be appropriate for the TTFCG to recommend attachment when the applicant submitted documents stating attachment of XM's antennas was not possible.

Motion: Rey Junquera moved to table the application. Dave Niblock seconded the motion and it was approved, with Willem Van Aller opposing.

Action Item: XM Satellite Radio application to attach antennas to a 12' extension pole at the top of an existing 150' monopole at Sherwood High School located at 300 Olney-Sandy Spring Road in Sandy Spring (Application #200101-01).

Julie Modlin summarized the application, noting that this was the site XM requested as an alternative to the Aspen Landscape location. Ms. Modlin cautioned that it might be problematic if the TTFCG approved two applications from the same carrier to provide coverage in the same service area.

Jane Lawton asked if this application would need to go back through Mandatory Referral. Pat Hanehan explained that in reviewing the Park & Planning Commission's approval for this monopole, MCPS considered that the approval was granted with the understanding that up to three carriers could attach to this structure. He understood that this was the Park and Planning Commission's view, as well. Thus, he did not believe this had to go back through Mandatory Referral. He added that the school was supportive of this application.

Eric Carzon stated that he wanted to avoid approving applications

if the carrier was not sure whether or not it was going to attach. He stated that any application that came before the TTFCG should be one where the carrier was serious about using that site. Jane Lawton agreed and wondered why XM would pursue the alternative at Aspen Landscape. She noted that citizens were asking the TTFCG about the number of applications that were recommended but were not built.

Pat Hanehan noted that VoiceStream had submitted an application to attach to this monopole as well, but had since changed its mind and notified the school that it will not be attaching at that location. Mr. Hunnicutt stated that he was not aware of that, as VoiceStream had not notified the Tower Coordinator of that decision. He stated that these kinds of changes make it difficult for the Tower Coordinator to review an application, because the record may show one thing which is different than the actual circumstance of any particular site.

Eric Carzon commented that perhaps this is an issue that should be added to the group's list of potential changes in legislation. Jane Lawton stated that, in the past, that issue had been raised. Mr. Van Aller added that he recalled that the TTFCG had discussed setting time limits on the TTFCG recommendations as well.

Chip Ryan suggested that when the carriers file their annual plans they could resolve any conflicts between what they had requested and what they had actually done. Rey Junquera stated he was still troubled by the fact that the group was being asked to review applications which may not actually be pursued.

Eric Carzon also noted that the application was poorly completed by the applicant. Mr. Hunnicutt noted that there had been numerous omissions and errors on XM's applications, as well as conflicts of information within each application. He stated that this was, in part, the reason why these applications had been delayed in coming before the TTFCG. Ms. Lawton agreed that the application should be completely filled out, and preferred that they not be handwritten.

Mr. Hunnicutt stated if any carrier wanted an electronic version of the application, he could send it to them. Ms. Lawton added that soon the applications would be available on-line at E-Montgomery, as well. Mr. Hunnicutt distributed copies of the latest application and asked the carriers to pick up a copy before they left.

Motion: Willem Van Aller moved the application be recommended conditioned on obtaining a proper FCC commercial license, and resolution of any conflicts with the County's system. Eric Carzon seconded the motion and it was approved with Pat Hanehan abstaining.

Action Item: XM Satellite Radio application to attach antennas on a 12' extension pole at the top of a 150' Sprint monopole at Bethesda Country Club located at 7601 Bradley Boulevard in Bethesda (Application #200101-03).

Julie Modlin summarized the application and noted that it had been

previously reviewed by the TTFCG, but because of structural issues and changes necessary to the Special Exception, it was tabled at the March meeting. She stated that, subsequently, the applicant provided the Tower Coordinator with a letter from Sprint, which stated that it authorized the attachment, and that the pole was extendable. She added that XM had also provided a structural analysis for Verizon's antennas, which she noted was not valid for any other attachments except the Verizon antennas. Consequently, this application was recommended, conditioned on resolution of the structural issues; any modifications that may be necessary to the Special Exception; obtaining a proper FCC commercial license; and resolution of any conflicts with the County's system. Eric Carzon asked if the Verizon antennas were attached to the tower. Mr. Hunnicutt stated that they were.

Motion: Eric Carzon recommended the application conditioned on resolution of the structural issues; any modifications that may be necessary to the Special Exception; obtaining a proper FCC commercial license; and resolution of any conflicts with the County's system. Willem Van Aller seconded the motion and it was unanimously approved.

Action Item: XM Satellite Radio application to attach antennas to a 10' extension pole on the roof of an existing Naval Surface Warfare Building #4 located at 9500 MacArthur Boulevard in Bethesda (Application #200103-03).

Julie Modlin summarized the application. Willem Van Aller asked if the local civic organizations had been involved in the siting of these antennas at the Naval Surface Warfare Center. Mr. Hunnicutt stated he had been in contact with the on-site Naval Coordinator, who assured him that he had been working with the civic organizations in the area.

Motion: Dave Niblock moved the application be recommended conditioned on obtaining a proper FCC commercial license, and resolution of any conflicts with the County's system. Rey Junquera seconded the motion and it was unanimously approved.

Action Item: Nextel Communications application to attach antennas at the 125' level on an existing 484' guyed tower on the Shumaker Property located at 16700 Barnesville Road in Barnesville (Application #200012-04).

Julie Modlin summarized the application and noted that the Tower Coordinator recommends this application conditioned on any modifications that may be necessary to the Special Exception regarding attachment of additional antennas and Nextel providing a structural analysis to the Department of Permitting Services and the TTFCG. Mr. Hunnicutt noted that, after he had concluded his review of this application, he had discovered an opinion from the County Attorney which concluded that since the amendment to the current zoning text had been adopted, any prior restrictions on the number of carriers on a tower structure no longer applied. Jane Lawton questioned whether that was valid, since the Board of Appeals has jurisdiction within the law to waive requirements for additional carriers if it was deemed to be in the community's

interest. M.G. Diamond stated that the waiver was with regard to the height of the structure. John Kelley stated that he did not believe any modification to the Special Exception was required, and that Nextel already had a structural analysis which he would provide to the Tower Coordinator. Jane Lawton stated that she wanted to make sure that the Board of Appeals was aware of these concerns by the TTFCG.

Motion: Willem Van Aller moved the application be recommended. Dave Niblock seconded the motion and it was unanimously approved.

Action Item: Verizon Wireless application to attach antennas at the 80' level on an existing Public Service Training Academy observation tower located at 10025 Darnestown Road in Rockville (Application #200101-04).

Julie Modlin summarized the application and noted that Verizon would be adding antennas to the existing frame already holding its 850 MHz antennas.

Willem Van Aller asked where the equipment was going, and noted that there was already a double equipment shed at that site. Mr. Hunnicutt stated that the equipment would go inside the existing Verizon shelter already onsite.

Motion: Pat Hanehan moved the application be recommended. Dave Niblock seconded the motion and it was unanimously approved.

Action Item: Verizon Wireless application to attach antennas at the 131' level on an existing WSSC Falls Road water tank located at 8505 Aquaduct Road in Rockville (Application #200102-10).

Julie Modlin summarized the application. Jane Lawton noted that the WSSC representative was missing from the meeting and asked the Tower Coordinator to contact her to see if she could attend future meetings.

Motion: Dave Niblock moved the application be recommended. Rey Junquera seconded the motion and it was unanimously approved.

Action Item: Verizon Wireless application to attach antennas at the 110' level on a 120' monopole to be constructed at the Baptist Home at 6301 Greentree Road in Bethesda (Application #200102-11).

Julie Modlin summarized the application. Mr. Hunnicutt exhibited a section of the trunk fabrication on a sample of a branch of the tree monopole for the TTFCG's information.

Motion: Eric Carzon moved the application be recommended. Rey Junquera seconded the motion and it was unanimously approved.

Action Item: Verizon Wireless application to attach antennas on an existing WSSC Cabin John water tank located at 7806A Tomlinson Avenue in Cabin John (Application #200103-01).

Julie Modlin summarized the application. Kwasi Bosompem noted

that this was a complete replacement of the existing antennas.

Motion: Willem Van Aller moved the application be recommended. Pat Hanehan seconded the motion and it was unanimously approved.

Action Item: VoiceStream Wireless application to attach antennas to a powermount at the 161' level of an existing 155' PEPCO transmission line tower #10-S located at 21000 Dickerson Road in Dickerson (Application #200103-02).

Julie Modlin summarized the application.

Motion: Dave Niblock moved the application be recommended. Eric Carzon seconded the motion and it was unanimously approved.

Action Item: Nextel Communications application to flush mount antennas at the 72' level on the roof of an existing 58' U.S. Postal Training Facility building located at 10130 Democracy Boulevard in Potomac (Application #200103-05).

Julie Modlin summarized the application. Jane Lawton asked Mr. Hunnicutt to clarify where the antennas were going on the structure. Mr. Hunnicutt noted that the application was incorrectly filled out in stating that this was not an attachment to an existing structure when it obviously was. Also, the drawings showed only 9 antennas, but Nextel proposed to attach a 12-panel array on the single story brick penthouse on the top of the building.

Motion: Willem Van Aller moved the application be recommended. Eric Carzon seconded the motion and it was unanimously approved.

Action Item: Nextel Communications application to replace a 25' light pole with a 68' monopole/light pole at Northwood High School located at 919 University Boulevard in Silver Spring (Application #200005-07).

Julie Modlin summarized the application and noted that this item was tabled at an earlier TTFCG meeting, pending resolution of questions regarding the use of existing structures. Ms. Modlin explained that Nextel had submitted sufficient technical information to verify that the taller buildings around this site could not be used given the configuration of Nextel's network and RF considerations. She also noted that Nextel had lowered the height of the proposed light pole/monopole from 80' to 68'.

In response to questions, Pat Hanehan explained that the School's position was that as long as the replacement light pole was along the boundary of the property so as not to interfere with the intended renovation of that school facility in the near future, they did not have a problem with this siting. Mr. Hunnicutt noted that with the lower height, the antennas would stick up just slightly above the existing trees which are immediately adjacent to the proposed site. Further, the trees should block the view of the pole from the adjacent elderly care facility. Mr. Hunnicutt added that he did not believe there would be objections from the community to the pole at the lower height.

Jane Lawton asked if the Mandatory Referral process would provide notice to the nearby residents. Deane Mellander stated he believed notice would go to the appropriate civic associations. Michael Ma agreed.

Motion: Rey Junquera moved the application be recommended. Willem Van Aller seconded the motion and it was approved with Pat Hanehan abstaining.

Action Item: AT&T Wireless application to construct a 160' Allegheny Power steel transmission line tower to replace an existing 40' wooden pole #MD-1435 located at 11411 Kingstead Road in Damascus (Application #200102-09).

Julie Modlin summarized the application and noted that this application was tabled from a prior meeting in order to obtain information from AT&T regarding use of an existing water tank and additional sites identified by the Tower Coordinator including nearby silos and an alternative Allegheny Power pole.

Jane Lawton commented that her concern with this application was that it appeared to be an attempt to evade the Special Exception process by having Allegheny Power erect a much larger pole than what was already in place, and then attaching antennas to it as a by-right application. Michael Ma reminded the group that there was a question regarding the ownership of the property for this location. Mr. Hunnicutt stated he did not get a clear answer for that question but he had been informed by Allegheny Power that, normally, it does not own the property, and that it would be up to AT&T to obtain any easement or access to the site. Terence Cook, representing AT&T, stated that the location was in Allegheny's right-of-way, and exhibited an aerial photo showing the location of the transmission line tower as proposed and the alternative transmission line tower as identified by Mr. Hunnicutt. Mr. Hunnicutt agreed with AT&T that there would be access problems at the alternative tower. Jane Lawton commented that the reason she questioned this application was because the replacement pole was so much taller than the existing pole at this site.

Tom King, a citizen, asked for a clearer explanation of how the Power Company could replace its facilities to accommodate cellular attachments. Jane Lawton explained that the Power Company has authority to replace it facilities when it deems it appropriate, and once having done so, the poles are existing structures to which carriers could attach to by-right. Mr. King stated he believed the law should be changed in this regard.

Motion: Willem Van Aller moved the application be recommended. Dave Niblock seconded and the motion was approved with Pat Hanehan and Michael Ma opposed.

Discussion Item - Proposed Tower Process Changes from Citizen Group: Jane Lawton asked Mr. Hunnicutt to remind her to distribute the letter from up-county citizens suggesting changes in the legislation for telecommunications facilities. Ms. Lawton also noted that she had attended a meeting of the Greater Goshen Civic Association and found it to be an informative and useful

meeting at which the function of the TTFCG was discussed.

Discussion Item - Southern Management letter re: The Chateau: Mr. Hunnicutt reminded the group that they had asked the Tower Coordinator to contact Southern Management regarding unused antennas on top of The Chateau Apartments. He stated he had done so and had a reply from Southern Management which said there were indeed some antennas that could be removed while others had to stay in place because some of the companies were in receivership. Further, Southern Management wished to keep some of the poles in order to accommodate future attachments. Mr. Hunnicutt added that Southern Management was very cooperative and was willing to review antennas on the rooftop and remove those which they felt could be removed if the TTFCG thought that was important. Jane Lawton asked Mr. Hunnicutt to reply to Southern Management that if there were antennas that could be removed, she believed it was in the community interest to do so.

Discussion Item - Sprint Landscape at PEPCO pole: Mr. Hunnicutt distributed photographs showing the site at which Mr. Ma had previously questioned whether there should be landscaping. Mr. Hunnicutt noted that there was a hedgerow along the side of the road which concealed the base of the transmission line tower, and that he did not believe that the Sprint platform and equipment cabinets would be visible from the roadway.

Discussion Item - VoiceStream Request to change out antennas: Julie Modlin stated that the Tower Coordinator had received two requests from VoiceStream to replace existing antennas with antennas which were somewhat larger. Deane Mellander added that there would be a number of other applications that would be forthcoming, and stated that it was simply a change out of antennas with no other changes in the site. Therefore, he did not believe that these applications needed to be reviewed by the TTFCG. Mr. Hunnicutt stated that these applications were note intended to be on today's agenda; that he was only seeking quidance from the TTFCG as to whether or not they had to go through the regular TTFCG review process. Jane Lawton commented that there had been other such questions raised before the group and noted that there were other issues related to this matter including permitting questions. She asked the Tower Coordinator to review the issues involved in this matter with the Department of Permitting Services and place these applications on the agenda as action items for next meeting. Mr. Hunnicutt suggested these issues could be discussed at the meeting of April 27, and, if deemed appropriate, could be placed on the May 2 meeting agenda.

The next meeting of the TTFCG is scheduled for Wednesday, May 2, 2001 at 2:00 p.m. in the Consumer Affairs Conference Room #225 of the COB.